

Table 1. Members of the Science Assessment Review Panel for M5.

Name	Affiliation
Kathrin Altwegg	University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland
Paola Andreani	European Southern Observatory, Garching, Germany
Mark Birkinshaw	University of Bristol, Bristol, United Kingdom
Gabriele Cremonese	INAF - Osservatorio Astronomico di Padova, Padova, Italy
Cecile Engrand	Centre de Spectrométrie Nucléaire et de Spectrométrie de Masse, Orsay, France
Francesca Esposito	INAF – Osservatorio Astronomico di Capodimonte, Napoli, Italy
Claire Foullon	University of Exeter, Exeter, United Kingdom
Olivier Grasset (Co-Chair)	Université de Nantes, Nantes, France
Hermann Lühr	Helmholtz Centre Potsdam, Potsdam, Germany
Javier Martin-Torres	Luleå Univ. of Technology, Sweden
Thomas Reiprich	Rheinische Friedrich-Wilhelms-Universität, Bonn, Germany
Michiel Van der Klis (Co-Chair)	Anton Pannekoek Institute for Astronomy, Amsterdam, The Netherlands
William Weber	University of Trento, Trento, Italy

Conflicts of interest are graded into two categories, namely "strong conflicts", which imply that the person affected will not participate in the evaluation process at all, and "weak conflicts", which imply that the person affected will not be involved in the evaluation of one or more proposals.

A strong conflict of interest results from either being directly involved in a proposal (as a member of the proposing team) or being in a situation in which selection of the proposal would bring direct benefit to the individual (e.g., by having a hierarchical relation with a member of the proposing team or by being part of a research group who would benefit, e.g., financially, from the proposal being selected). Also, any other situation (e.g., due to a personal or family relationship) that leads the individual to feel strongly conflicted has to be declared.

Any other conflict of interest is categorised as “weak” and will lead to the individual involved having to abstain from commenting or evaluating the proposal(s) in question (while still being involved in the evaluation process for all other proposals).

The members of the SARP-M5 with weak conflicts are indicated in Table 2 together with the relevant proposals.

Table 2. List of SARP-M5 members with weak conflicts and relevant proposals

Name	Mission
William Weber	EnVision
Olivier Grasset	EnVision
Kathrin Altwegg	Heavy Metal - Hera - SELMA - ESCAPE - Castalia
Francesca Esposito	e-Astrogam - THESEUS - Castalia
Javier Martin-Torres	Heavy Metal
Cecile Engrand	e-Astrogam
Mark Birkinshaw	SPICA

Table 3. List of SSC-M5 members

<i>Name</i>	<i>Affiliation</i>
Peter Gallagher	Trinity College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland
Karl-Heinz Glassmeier	Technische Universität Braunschweig, Braunschweig, Germany
Olivier Grasset	Université de Nantes, Nantes, France
Martin Hewitson	Max Planck Institute for Gravitational Physics, Hannover, Germany
Philippe Masson	Université Paris-Sud, Orsay, France
Birgitta Nordström	Niels Bohr Institute, Copenhagen University, Copenhagen, Denmark
Gian Gabriele Ori	Università Degli Studi G. D'annunzio, Pescara, Italy
Leonardo Testi	European Southern Observatory, Garching, Germany
Michiel van der Klis	Anton Pannekoek Institute for Astronomy, Amsterdam, The Netherlands
John Zarnecki (Chair)	Royal Astronomical Society, London, UK

The members of the SSC-M5 were selected in order to avoid “strong” conflicts of interest. Members of the SSC-M5 that are affected by weak conflicts of interest with a given proposal were not involved in the discussion of the relevant proposal. The weak conflicts identified are:

<i>Name</i>	<i>Surname</i>
Karl-Heinz Glassmeier	Heavy Metal – DePhine – Castalia
Martin Hewitson	Galileo Galilei

For completeness of information, it is noted that Birgitta Nordström and John Zarnecki are retired from Institutes of proposers in the THESEUS and Castalia proposals, respectively, and that Olivier Grasset has left the Laboratory of a proposer in the EnVision proposal.